ENDORSED FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY

NOV 8 2006

Cierk of the Superior Court

By E. Boffl

DEPUTY CLERK

1 BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of California 2 ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN Senior Assistant Attorney General 3 MARGARET REITER Supervising Deputy Attorney General SETH E. MERMIN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 189194 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Eleventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94102 6 Telephone: (415) 703-5601 7 Fax: (415) 703-5480 8 JAMES P. FOX District Attorney, County of San Mateo State Bar No. 45169 9 **CHUCK FINNEY** 10 Deputy District Attorney

10 Deputy District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 363-4097

12 Fax: (650) 363-4873

13 Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff.

v.

FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM, HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,

Defendants.

CASE NO .: CIV 458851

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER RELIEF

Date: November $\underline{\mathscr{B}}$, 2006

28

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, and James P. Fox, District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, allege the following on information and belief:

PARTIES

- 1. Defendant Fox Rent A Car, Inc. is a California corporation.
- Defendant Pay Low Rent A Car, Inc. is a California corporation. Pay Low is a franchisee of Payless Car Rental System, Inc.
 - 3. Defendant MAM Holding, LLC is a Nevada limited liability company.
 - 4. Defendant Certified Auto Body, Inc. is a California corporation.
 - 5. Defendant Bayport Car Rental, Inc. is a California corporation.
- 6. The corporate defendants listed in paragraphs 1 through 6 may be referred to collectively in this complaint as "Fox."
- 7. Defendant Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi is an individual. Mr. Mirtorabi is the president of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., and an officer of the other corporate defendants, and has controlled, managed, and directed the activities of the corporate defendants.
- 8. Defendant Mike (Mansour) Jaberi is an individual. Mr. Jaberi is vice-president and secretary of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., and an officer of the other corporate defendants, and has controlled, managed, and directed the activities of the corporate defendants.
- 9. Defendant Allen (Ali) Rezapour is an individual. Mr. Rezapour is vice-president and treasurer of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., and an officer of the other corporate defendants, and has controlled, managed, and directed the activities of the corporate defendants.
- 10. All references in this Complaint to any of the defendants include all of them, unless otherwise specified. Whenever reference is made in this Complaint to any act of defendants, such allegation means that each defendant acted individually and jointly with the other defendants.
- 11. At all relevant times, each defendant committed the act(s), caused others to commit the act(s), or permitted others to commit the act(s) alleged in this Complaint.
- 12. Any allegation about any acts of any corporate or other business defendant shall mean that the corporation or other business did the acts alleged through its officers, directors, employees,

CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 17200 (UNLAWFUL BUSINESS ACTS OR PRACTICES)

- 18. The People reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 18 of this Complaint.
- 19. Defendants have engaged in unfair competition as defined by California Business and Professions Code section 17200 by engaging in the following acts or practices:
- a. By charging renters \$50 plus \$.35 per mile traveled (over 100 miles per day) for the entire rental period for driving beyond the asserted geographical restriction, in violation of Civil Code section 1671, which forbids the assessment of such liquidated damages penalties;
- b. By representing or implying that consumers were required to purchase RLP coverage unless they could produce physical proof of their own liability coverage, in violation of section 1936(n) of the Civil Code, which forbids a rental company from charging any fee (beyond those enumerated in that provision) that must be paid by the renter as a condition of renting the vehicle, and further specifies that a rental company must make the purchase of any insurance or similar product optional.
- c. By assessing renters for vehicle damage months after those renters returned the vehicles, when at the time the renters returned the vehicles Defendants made no mention of any damage having been done.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, that all Defendants, their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participating with them, be permanently enjoined from violating Business and Professions Code section 17200, in connection with the violations alleged in this Complaint;
- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, that the Court assess a civil penalty against each Defendant for each violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200

1			
1	alleged in the Complaint, as proved at trial;		
2	3.	3. That the People recover their costs of suit; and	
3	4.	That the Court grant such other and further relief as	s it may deem just and proper.
4			
5	Dated:	October $\frac{3}{2}$, 2006	
6	TANEDO	en rov Bill I	CVVED
7	District .	t Attorney, San Mateo County Attorney	OCKYER, y General T NORMAN SHELDEN,
8		District Attorney Senior A	Assistant Attorney General ARET REITER,
9	i	Supervi	sing Deputy Attorney General . MERMIN,
10		Deputy	Attorney General
11	Xoh	heral true	Soff May :
12	By CH		ETH E. MERMIN
13	Att Pec	ttorneys for the Plaintiff eople of the State of California At	torneys for the Plaintiff ople of the State of California
14			
15	:		
16			
17			
18			
19			
20 21			
22			
23			
24			
25	 		
26	j j		
27	# 		
28	:		

J		
1	BILL LOCKYER	
2	Attorney General of California ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN	
_	Senior Assistant Attorney General	ENDORSED FILED
3	MARGARET REITER Supervising Deputy Attorney General	SAN MATEO COUNTY
4	SETH E. MERMIN	NOV 0 9 2006
اء	Deputy Attorney General	MOA A \$1 5000
5	State Bar No. 189194 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Eleventh Floor	Clerk of the Superior Court
6	San Francisco, CA 94102	By M. YOUNG DEPUTY CLERK
7	Telephone: (415) 703-5601 Fax: (415) 703-5480	peron o
8	JAMES P. FOX District Attorney, County of San Mateo	
9	State Bar No. 45169	
10	CHUCK FINNEY	Y
10	Deputy District Attorney 400 County Center, Third Floor	
11	Redwood City, CA 94063	
12	Telephone: (650) 363-4097 Fax: (650) 363-4873	
	, ´	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California	
14		
15	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE ST	TATE OF CALIFORNIA
	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA	
16		
17		115cm.~1
18	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	CASE NO.: CIV 458851
	CALIFORNIA,	STIPULATION TO ENTRY OF
19	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT
20	v.	
21	EOV DENT A CAD INC a California	Date Action Filed: November 2, 2006
	FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a	November <u>8</u> , 2000
22	California corporation; MAM, HOLDING, LLC, a	
23	Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR	
24		
24	RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK	İ
	(MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE	
25	RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	
	(MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	
26	(MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN	
	(MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual, Defendants.	ll Lockyer, Attorney General, and James
26	(MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	ll Lockyer, Attorney General, and James

l

STIPULATION TO ENTRY OF JUDGMENT

PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC.

P. Fox, District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, and Defendants Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi, Mike (Mansour) Jaberi, and Alten (Ali) Rezapour (collectively "Defendants"), appearing through their attorney Mark R. Mittelman, hereby stipulate to the entry of the Judgment attached hereto as Exhibit 1 (the "Judgment"), and to all of the following:

- 1. The court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this complaint and the parties hereto. The parties submit to the jurisdiction of the Superior Court of California, County of San Mateo for the purpose of enabling any party to the Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of the Judgment, for modification of the injunctive provisions of the Judgment, and for the People to apply at any time for enforcement of any provisions of the Judgment or for punishment of any violations of the Judgment.
- 2. Defendants waive service of a summons and complaint in this action. Defendants agree to accept notice of entry of the Judgment entered in this action by delivery of such notice to their counsel of record, and agree that service of the Notice of Entry of Judgment will be deemed personal service on them for all purposes.
- 3. The Judgment does not constitute an adjudication of the substantive merits of any claim or defense in this case. Neither this Stipulation to Entry of Judgment nor the Judgment constitutes an admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendants.
- 4. This Stipulation is executed on behalf of Defendant Fox Rent A Car, Inc. and the other corporate defendants by their officers Mike Jaberi, Mark Mirtorabi, and Allen Rezapour, who represent and warrant that they are authorized to execute the stipulation on behalf of Fox Rent A Car, Inc. and the other corporate defendants.
 - 5. Defendants warrant and represent that each of them is a proper party to the Judgment.
- 6. Defendants warrant and represent that Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Bayport Car Rental, Inc., and Certified Auto Body, Inc., are the true legal names of the corporate entities entering into the Judgment.
 - 7. Defendants Mike Jaberi, Mark Mirtorabi and Allen Rezapour warrant and represent that

they are officers and shareholders of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., and Certified Auto Body, Inc., each of which is a California corporation, and MAM Holding, LLC, which is a Nevada limited liability company.

- 8. Defendants warrant and represent that Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., and Bayport Car Rental, Inc. have no shareholders, owners or officers besides Mike Jaberi, Mark Mirtorabi and Allen Rezapour, and that Mike Jaberi, Mark Mirtorabi and Allen Rezapour have no interest in any car rental business other than through their interests in these entities.
- 9. Defendants warrant and represent that the execution and delivery of this Stipulation to Entry of Judgment is a free and voluntary act and that the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment and the Judgment are the result of good faith settlement negotiations.
- 10. Defendants warrant and represent that the information, documents, and compilations of information provided by Defendants to counsel for the People during the course of settlement negotiations are substantially accurate and complete. If the People determine in their sole discretion that the information provided during settlement negotiations was not substantially accurate and complete, the People may move in court for, and Defendants stipulate to the entry of, an order vacating the Judgment. Defendants stipulate to the tolling of any applicable statute of limitations so that the period between the entry of the Judgment and the date on which any action is recommenced under this paragraph shall be excluded from determining the appropriate limitations period.
- 11. Defendants acknowledge that they have had the opportunity to review independently, have reviewed with their counsel, and understand (1) the terms of this Stipulation and (2) the terms of the Judgment.
- 12. On execution of this Stipulation, Defendants will deliver the following to counsel for the People: (1) in payment of restitution pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 of surcharges imposed for asserted violations of Defendants' geographical restrictions policy, a certified check or cashier's check made out to the California Attorney General in the amount of eighty-nine thousand dollars (\$89,000.00); (2) in partial payment of restitution pursuant to Business

and Professions Code section 17203 of charges imposed for the purchase of Renter's Liability Protection (RLP) policies, a certified check or cashier's check made out to the California Attorney General District Attorney in the amount of one hundred sixty-one thousand dollars (\$161,000.00); (3) documents establishing the Defendants have opened a separate bank account containing at least \$25,000.00, to be applied pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203 to restitution of charges involving practices other than geographical restrictions about which consumers have complained.

- 13. Defendants acknowledge that counsel for the People, in their sole discretion, shall determine, after consultation with Defendants, whether a person is entitled to restitution and the amount of restitution due that person. Defendants acknowledge that counsel for the People, in their sole discretion, shall determine, after consultation with Defendants, whether Defendants must deliver to counsel for the People additional bank or certified check(s) in order to effect full restitution for customers charged for violations of geographical restrictions pursuant to the Judgment. Defendants further acknowledge that counsel for the People, in their sole discretion, shall determine, after consultation with Defendants, whether Defendants must add funds, and in what amount, to the separate bank account out of which Defendants will pay customers submitting complaints about subjects other than geographical restrictions.
- 14. Defendants acknowledge their obligation, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, to pay such further amounts in restitution, and by such dates, as are set out in the Judgment.
- 15. Defendants acknowledge their obligation, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, to make such payment of civil penalties, and by such dates, as are set out in the Judgment.
- 16. Defendants acknowledge their obligation to make such payment of investigative costs and expenses, and by such dates, as are set out in the Judgment.
- 17. On execution of this Stipulation, Defendants will deliver to counsel for the People a cashier's check or certified check made out to the San Mateo Superior Court in an amount sufficient to satisfy all court costs associated with Defendants' appearance in this action, including any fee for

the filing of the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment.

- 18. On execution of this Stipulation, Defendants will deliver to counsel for the People two letters of credit, one in the amount of \$211,000.00 (two hundred eleven thousand dollars) and one in the amount of \$139,000.00 (one hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars), issued in favor of the California Attorney General's Office and the San Mateo County District Attorney's Office, as security for those amounts yet to be paid (in January 2007 and March 2007) under the terms set forth in the Judgment and in Exhibit 2 to this Stipulation. The People agree that after they receive from Defendants the full payment due January 15, 2007, they will send a letter pursuant to the terms of Exhibit 2 to this Stipulation for the purpose of canceling the letter of credit in the amount of \$211,000.00. The People further agree that, after they receive from Defendants the full payment due March 15, 2007, they will send a letter pursuant to the terms of Exhibit 2 to this Stipulation for the purpose of canceling the letter of credit in the amount of \$139,000.00.
 - 19. The parties warrant that they will implement the terms of the Judgment in good faith.
- 20. Counsel for Plaintiff may submit the Judgment to any judge or commissioner of the superior court for approval and signature, based on this Stipulation to Entry of Judgment, during the court's ex parte calendar or on any other ex parte basis, without notice to or any appearance by Defendants, which notice and right to appear Defendants hereby waive.
- 21. Defendants hereby waive the right to appeal, to set aside or vacate, or otherwise to attack directly or collaterally the Judgment entered pursuant to this Stipulation and attached hereto as Exhibit 1, or any provision thereof.
 - 22. This Stipulation may be executed in counterparts and on multiple signature pages.
 - 23. Defendants waive filing and service of a Notice of Entry of Judgment.

	·	
1	, / ,	
2	DATE: 4/25/06	FOX RENT A CAR, INC.
3	* *	By: Mile J. BEM
4	, /	MIKE JABERI, vice-president and secretary
5	DATE: 10/25/06	BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC.
6	/ /	Mike JaBana
7	, ,	By: MIKE JABERI, vice-president and secretary
8 9	DATE: 10/25/06	PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC
10	/ /	- MILL DREAM
l l	, ,	By: MIKE JABERI, vice-president and secretary
12	DATE: 10/25/06	MAM HOLDING, LLC
13		1
14		By: MIKE JABERI, president
15	DATE: 10/25/06	
16	DATE: 10/04/0	CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC.
17 18		By: MIKE JABERI, vice-president and secretary
18	, ,	\
20	DATE: 10/25/06	MIKE JoBENA
21	7 7	MIKE JABERI, individually and as vice-president and secretary of defendants FOX RENT A CAR, INC.,
22		BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., and PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC.; president of defendant MAM
23		HOLDING, LLC; and vice-president and secretary of defendant CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC.
24	10/16	
25	DATE: 10/25/00	MARK MIRTORABI, individually and as president of
26		defendants FOX RENT A CAR, INC., BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., and PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC.;
27		president of defendant CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC.; and vice-president and secretary of defendant
28		MAM HOLDING, LLC
	B	

1 2 3 4 5 6	DATE: 10/25/06	ALLEN REZAPOUR, individually and as vice-president and treasurer of defendants FOX RENT A CAR, INC., BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., and PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC.; vice-president and treasurer of defendant CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC.; and vice-president and treasurer of defendant MAM HOLDING, LLC;
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CO	NTENT:
9		
10	DATE:	LAW OFFICES OF MARK R. MITTELMAN
11		R _v .
12		By: Mark R. Mittelman Attorney for Defendants
13		Attorney for Defendants
14		
15	·	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	;	
26		
27		
28		

1		
2	DATE:	ENI DE 7 A DOLLD individually and as
3	Vice-	EN REZAPOUR, individually and as president and treasurer of defendants FOX RENT A, INC., BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., and PAY RENT A CAR, INC.; vice-president and treasurer fendant CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC.; and president and treasurer of defendant MAM. DING, LLC;
4	LOW	RENT A CAR, INC.; vice-president and treasurer
5	vice-	president and treasurer of defendant MAM
6	HOL	DING, ELC,
7	7	
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTEN	VT:
9		1
10	DATE: 10/19/00 LAV	V OFFICES OF MARK R. MITTELMAN
11		
12	2 Mar	k R. Mittelman rney for Defendants
13	3	They for Detendants
14	4	
15	5	
16	6	
17	7	
18	8	
19	9	
20	0	
21	1	
22	2	
23	3	
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	28	
	11	

1		
2	DATE: October 25,2006	BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3	·	. ^ .
4		By: Sett E Men
5		Seth E. Mermin Deputy Attorney General
6		Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff People of the State of California
7	DATE: 11/8/06	IAMES B EOV DISTRICT ATTORNEY
8	DATE: <u>[1/8/0/6</u>	JAMES P. FOX, DISTRICT ATTORNEY COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
9		Much tima
10		Chuck Finney Denuty District Attorney
11		Deputy District Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff People of the State of California
12		1 copic of the state of Cantolina
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

- 11		•
1	BILL LOCKYER Attorney General of California	
2	Attorney General of California ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN	
3	Senior Assistant Attorney General MARGARET REITER	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SETH E. MERMIN	
5	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 189194	
6	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Eleventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94102	. '
7	Telephone: (415) 703-5601 Fax: (415) 703-5480	
8	JAMES P. FOX	
9	District Attorney, County of San Mateo State Bar No. 45169	
10	CHUCK FINNEY Deputy District Attorney	
11	400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063	
12	Telephone: (650) 363-4097 Fax: (650) 363-4873	
	, , ,	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California	
14	IN MILE GIMPOTON COLUMN ON MITT OF	PATE (SE CAR EDOUBLE)
15	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S'	
16	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA	AN MATEU
17	THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO.:
18	CALIFORNIA,	[PROPOSED]
19	Plaintiff,	
20	i manitali,	JUDGMENT
	V.	
21	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California	Date Action Filed: November 2, 2006
	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a	Date Action Filed:
21	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR	Date Action Filed:
21 22	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE	Date Action Filed:
21 22 23	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK	Date Action Filed:
21 22 23 24	v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN	Date Action Filed:
21 22 23 24 25	V. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	Date Action Filed: November 2, 2006

PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC.

JUDGMENT

l	P. F
2	Lov
3	Ma
4	"De
5	of t
6	an a
7	or la
8	file
9	
10	
11	
12	as t
13	
14	
15	Inc
16	Inc
17	age
18	con
19	рег
20	
21	
22	
23	

25

26

27

28

P. Fox, District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, and defendants Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi, Mike (Mansour) Jaberi, and Allen (Ali) Rezapour (hereafter collectively "Defendants"), appearing through their attorney Mark R. Mittelman, having stipulated to the entry of this Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment not constituting evidence of or an admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint, or an adjudication of any issue of fact or law; the Court having considered the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith; and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue
as to all matters between the parties relating to this action is proper in this Court.

INJUNCTION

- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., Mike (Mansour) Jaberi, Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi, and Allen (Ali) Rezapour, as well as their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participating with any of them (hereafter collectively "Fox"), are hereby immediately and permanently enjoined and restrained:
 - A. With respect to Global Positioning System (GPS) Devices and Geographical Restrictions, from directly or indirectly:
 - Obtaining, accessing or using any information relating to a renter's use of a vehicle when that information was secured using GPS or other electronic surveillance technology in any manner, except as follows:
 - a. When the equipment is used by Fox only for the purpose of locating a stolen, abandoned, or missing rental vehicle after one of the following:
 - The renter or law enforcement has informed Fox that the vehicle has been stolen or abandoned or is missing;

- ii. The rental vehicle has not been returned within a week after the contracted return date plus any extension of that return date; or
- iii. Fox has discovered that the rental vehicle has been stolen or abandoned and, if the vehicle has been stolen, Fox has reported the vehicle stolen to law enforcement by filing a stolen vehicle report.

 (The last part of the previous sentence shall not apply if law enforcement, per paragraph 1.A.i. above, has already informed Fox that the vehicle has been stolen or abandoned or is missing.)
- In response to a specific request from law enforcement pursuant to a subpoena or search warrant.
- c. As otherwise set forth in section 1936(0)(3)-(6) of the Civil Code.
- Using GPS or other electronic surveillance technology to track a renter in order to impose surcharges, fines, penalties or any other charge relating to the renter's use of the vehicle.
- 3. Failing to keep records (in a manner at least as complete as that set forth in section 1936(o)(1)(B) of the Civil Code) of each time Fox uses GPS or other electronic surveillance technology to contact a vehicle, or failing to keep these records for three years from the time of such contact, or failing to make these records available to the offices of the Attorney General and District Attorney within seven days after receipt of a request for inspection.
- 4. Failing to ensure, if Fox uses GPS or other electronic surveillance technology, that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process other than telephone conversations in advertisements (including all statements on Fox's website(s)), during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk (1) that GPS or similar devices may be present in Fox's cars, and (2) of the ways in which those devices may be used by Fox. In a telephone conversation, Fox must inform a renter about the presence of the devices if the renter raises the issue. If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority

over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, Fox shall make best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed about the presence of the devices.

- 5. Failing to ensure, if Fox places geographical restrictions on where renters may drive Fox's vehicles, that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process in advertisements, during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk that there are geographical restrictions on where a driver may take Fox's vehicle, and of what those restrictions are. If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, they shall make best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed of the existence and nature of these geographical restrictions.
- B. With respect to Renter's Liability Protection (RLP), from directly or indirectly:
 - Requiring renters to purchase RLP, or any other insurance or similar product (including but not limited to Collision Damage Waiver (CDW) or Loss Damage Waiver (LDW)), as a condition of rental;
 - 2. Offering for sale RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW), unless Fox ensures that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process in advertisements (including all statements on Fox's website(s)), during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk (1) that they do not need to purchase RLP or any other insurance or similar product from Fox in order to rent a vehicle from Fox, and (2) that they may wish to check with their own insurance company and/or credit card company to determine whether they already possess the relevant coverage. That is, Fox must inform its customers and potential customers that the purchase of these products is

optional, and Fox is prohibited from using such statements as "Proof of Auto Liability Insurance must be produced at time of rental." If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, Fox shall use best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed that the purchase of these products is optional.

- 3. Offering for sale RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW) without requiring renters to affirmatively sign or initial the rental contract (or the electronic equivalent at a self-service kiosk) next to a clear and conspicuous description of the insurance or similar product being purchased, its price, and the fact that buying the coverage is optional, in order to purchase the product.
- 4. Failing to develop, maintain and employ either (1) a script or (2) an outline which Fox must use in presenting insurance and similar products to all renters (including those at self-service kiosks). The script or outline must convey clearly and conspicuously to all renters that the purchase of any insurance or similar product from Fox is entirely optional and that Fox will rent the vehicle to the renter even if the renter declines to purchase the products offered. The script or outline must also provide that if a customer communicates that he or she does not wish to purchase a particular type of coverage or coverages, Fox (including at its self-service kiosks) may not continue to discuss that type of coverage or coverages and may not raise the issue again later in the transaction. This provision shall not prevent Fox from later discussing the issue if (but only if) the renter or another member of the renter's party raises the issue. If a regular Fox customer at the rental counter informs a counter agent that he or she does not wish to hear the script or outline, the agent may terminate the presentation at that point.
- 5. Failing to maintain "CUSTOMER NOTICE" signs prominently displayed at all

Ì

rental locations, and clearly and conspicuously visible to all renters who come to all rental locations, that state under the heading "UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW" as follows:

- As a first bullet point: "You do not have to purchase any insurance in order to rent a car.";
- b. As a second bullet point: "The insurance we offer may duplicate coverage already provided by your auto insurance policy or your credit card. Feel free to use our telephone to check.";
- c. As a third bullet point: "Fox Rent-A-Car rental agents are not qualified or authorized to evaluate the adequacy of your existing insurance coverage/policy."
- based on either (1) the number of renters to whom the employees or agents sell RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW), or (2) the amount of such coverage (i.e. premiums) sold.
- C. With respect to Damage to Vehicles, from directly or indirectly:
 - Charging or making a claim against a renter for damage to a vehicle unless all
 of the following are true:
 - a. The damage is of a type for which the renter may be held liable;
 - o. The renter was explicitly given the opportunity to inspect the vehicle for damage at the commencement and after the completion of the rental specifically, prior to taking possession of the rental vehicle, the renter was informed clearly and conspicuously, both orally and in a written statement on the vehicle-damage diagram sheet, of his or her right to inspect the vehicle for damage, and best efforts were made to inform the renter orally of this right at the time he or she returned the vehicle;
 - c. Fox inspected and can document the vehicle's condition immediately prior

28

to and immediately after the rental;

- d. Fox pointed out the asserted damage to the renter at the time the renter returned the vehicle, and notified the renter of the cost of repair within twenty days of the end of the rental.
- D. With respect to Other Violations of Law, from:

Otherwise violating section 17200 of the Business & Professions Code as to the conduct described herein, or section 1936 of the Civil Code.

- 3. Fox is additionally immediately and permanently enjoined as follows:
 - A. With respect to Retention of Records, to:

Continue to retain rental records for each renter, including the rental contract and copies of all written correspondence (U.S. Mail, electronic mail, etc.) and records of telephone conversations if any, for three years from completion of the rental period. Records must be kept in such a way that they can be made available to the offices of the District Attorney and Attorney General within seven days of the date of a request for inspection.

- B. With respect to Handling of Complaints, to:
 - Maintain a system of handling complaints that ensures that complaints are addressed promptly and effectively, such that any complaint is investigated and the result of the investigation communicated to the complaining renter within seven days after Fox is are made aware of the complaint;
 - 2. Maintain records of (1) all complaints, including complaints sent directly to corporate headquarters of Payless Car Rental, Inc. and forwarded to Fox, and (2) all correspondence, including electronic correspondence, and all records of telephone conversations, regarding those complaints, in a customer's file for at least three years from completion of the rental period;
 - Make records of complaints available to the offices of the District Attorney and Attorney General within seven days of the date of a request for inspection.
- C. With respect to Compliance with the Terms of This Judgment as to Third-Party

Providers, to:

- Ensure that any information or statement about Fox's policies or practices that
 is provided by Fox to a "third party provider" i.e., any business that provides
 consumers links to or information about Fox conforms with the terms of this
 Judgment.
- 2. Use best efforts to ensure that any information or statement about Fox's policies or practices that is provided by a "third-party provider" conforms with the terms of this Judgment and to promptly notify counsel for the People if Fox learns of any nonconforming information or statement that Fox's best efforts have not been able to correct.

D. With respect to Distribution of the Terms of this Judgment, to:

- Ensure that a copy of the injunctive terms of this Judgment (together with at least the first two pages and the last page of the Judgment) is provided to Payless Rental Car Systems, Inc., and that a copy of the injunctive terms of the Judgment that relate to the use of GPS (or similar) technology is provided to Air IQ U.S., Inc./Aircept.com, LLC, and to any other provider or former provider of GPS (or similar) tracking services to Fox.
- 2. Ensure that a copy of the injunctive terms of this Judgment or a training manual containing these terms is, on at least an annual basis, made available to and required to be read by each employee and representative, and each new hire, of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Bayport Car Rental, Inc., or Certified Auto Body, Inc., who has or will have direct contact with Fox's rental car customers, and that each employee or representative is given sufficient time, resources and opportunity at work to become familiar with and understand the injunctive terms of the Judgment. Fox, through its internal auditor, shall ensure that the terms of this Judgment are complied with on an ongoing basis, and at least annually with respect to each employee or other representative of Fox.

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

RESTITUTION

- 4. Defendants shall pay restitution to their customers pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203. As set forth in detail below, Defendants shall (1) make restitution in full, and in any event in an amount not less than \$89,000, to those of their customers who were allegedly found through the use of GPS devices to have violated Fox's restrictions on where cars could be driven, and surcharged for that purported violation; (2) pay \$350,000.00 toward restitution to those of their customers who were required or believed they were required to pay for Renter's Liability Protection coverage in order to rent a car between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005; and (3) directly make restitution in full to those of their customers who have made or who make a valid complaint, as determined by counsel for the People in their sole discretion in consultation with Defendants, to Fox or an outside agency (as specified below) during the period from January 1, 2003 until the date 90 days after of the date of entry of this Judgment regarding any other unlawful, unfair or deceptive act or practice committed by Fox.
 - All those customers who, through the use of a GPS device in Fox's vehicles, were assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions shall have restored to them the full amount of the surcharge (including any tax thereon) that they paid and have not recovered. This restitution shall be accomplished as set forth in paragraph 6.A.1. below. Any questions as to eligibility for or amount of restitution due shall be determined by the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants.
 - В. All those customers who believed that they were required to purchase Renter's Liability Protection (RLP) coverage in order to rent a car from Fox, and who purchased such coverage, as part of a rental concluding at any time between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005, shall be eligible to have restored to them up to the full amount of the RLP charge they paid (including any tax thereon). This restitution shall be effected according to the procedure set forth in paragraph 6.A.2. below. The restoration to consumers of RLP "premiums" paid shall not revoke, diminish or otherwise adversely affect any renter's RLP coverage that may still be in effect (i.e.,

in covering an accident that occurred during the rental). Any questions as to eligibility for or amount of restitution due a renter shall be determined by the People in their sole discretion, after consultation with Defendants.

- C. All those customers who have submitted a complaint about Fox on a subject other than geographical restrictions to the California Attorney General's Office, to the San Mateo District Attorney's Office, to the Better Business Bureau, to Payless Corporate, or to Fox (including to Fox corporate headquarters, to any Fox office, or to Payless Rent A Car), at any time between January 1, 2003 and the date of entry of this Judgment, or who submit a complaint about Fox that is received by Fox or by counsel for the People within ninety (90) days of the date of entry of this Judgment on a subject other than geographical restrictions or RLP within 90 days after the date of entry of this Judgment (the "Miscellaneous Restitution Period"), shall be eligible to have restored to them all amounts not previously restored (including any taxes paid) that were obtained in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, as determined by the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants. This restitution shall be carried out as set forth in paragraph 6.B.1. below.
- 5. Defendants shall provide funds for the foregoing restitution as follows:
- A. On or before the date of entry of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) a certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$89,000.00, (eighty-nine thousand dollars) made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of geographical surcharges as set forth in paragraph 4.A. above, (2) a certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$161,000.00 (one hundred sixty-one thousand dollars), made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of RLP charges as set forth in paragraph 4.B. above, and (3) documents establishing that Defendants have opened a separate bank account, containing at least \$25,000.00 (twenty-five thousand dollars), to be applied toward restitution of miscellaneous

charges that were the subject of customer complaints as set forth in paragraph 4.C. above.

B. On or before January 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People an additional certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$189,000.00 (one hundred eighty-nine thousand dollars), made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of RLP charges.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

- 6. Restitution shall be effected (1) in part by a third-party Settlement Administrator selected by Plaintiff and engaged and compensated by Defendants, and (2) in part directly by Defendants.
 - A. The Settlement Administrator shall administer restitution as follows:
 - 1. Geographic Restrictions

All Fox customers who, through the use of a GPS device in Fox's vehicles, were assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions shall have restored to them the full amount of the surcharge (including any tax thereon) that they paid and have not recovered.

- a. The California Attorney General's Office will transfer the \$89,000.00 paid by Defendants, plus any applicable interest, to an interest-bearing account established by the Settlement Administrator to handle restitution related to surcharges for geographical violations (the "GPS Restitution Account").
- b. The Settlement Administrator shall send a notice (the "GPS Notice") to each customer who was assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions during the GPS Restitution Period, informing the customer that s/he may be entitled to restitution pursuant to this Judgment. The notice shall be printed on a "double postcard" and shall contain substantially the following language:

"The California Attorney General and the San Mateo County District Attorney have filed a judgment against Fox Rent A Car. The Judgment requires Fox Rent A Car to refund money to Fox customers who were charged extra for driving outside California, Nevada and Arizona. It is illegal under California law to charge a renter a "penalty" unrelated to the

cost to the company of the supposed violation. Fox's records show that you were charged \$[AMOUNT] as this type of penalty when you returned your rented car to the company.

"Please sign and return the card below – and correct the address if necessary – so that we can ensure that we send your refund to the right person at the right address. If you return your card, you will receive a refund in the extra amount that you were charged (and have not already been reimbursed). This is true even if you did drive outside the three-state area.

"To find out more about this case, you can go to the Attorney General's website at http://ag.ca.gov/[___]. If you have any questions, you can call the Settlement Administrator, Rosenthal & Co., at 1-800-237-0343."

The Settlement Administrator shall provide the telephone support services referred to on the postcard. The postcard shall contain substantially the statement "COURT-ORDERED <u>REFUND NOTICE</u> TO FOX RENT A CAR CUSTOMERS (*PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR*)" on the side of the postcard that contains the customer's address. General background information about the case, including copies of the settlement documents and a list of responses to FAQs, will be made available on the Attorney General's website.

Defendants shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within fourteen (14) days from the date of Entry of Judgment, with a database containing the name, amount paid as a surcharge for alleged geographic violation, address, telephone number, driver's license issuer and number, and email address (if available) of each Fox customer eligible to receive the GPS Notice. Prior to sending any GPS Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall check the name and address information supplied by Defendants against at least the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database and make all appropriate changes. If the Settlement Administrator cannot locate a current address for a given Notice recipient, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of this fact and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail

the Notice to that address.

- d. The GPS Notification and Payment Schedule shall be as follows:
 - Notice to all recipients of the GPS Notice shall be sent no later than 30 days after entry of this Judgment (the "Mailing Date"). Any notices returned as undeliverable with a change of address notification shall be re-sent to the new address. Any notices returned as undeliverable without a change of address notification shall be checked by the Settlement Administrator using standard address-search resources, and remailed to eligible recipients for whom new addresses are found. The Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of any eligible recipient for whom a valid address has not been found and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.
 - ii. GPS Notice recipients shall be instructed to respond by the date 60 days after the Mailing Date (the "Claim Deadline"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, a response received by the date 90 days after the Mailing Date shall be deemed timely.
 - iii. The Settlement Administrator shall send all checks for GPS restitution by the date 120 days after the Mailing Date.
- e. If the amount in the "GPS Restitution Fund" account is insufficient fully to effect restitution to all customers entitled to restitution of geographicalviolation surcharges, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify Defendants of the amount of the deficiency, and Defendants shall pay that amount into the GPS Restitution Fund within 14 days of receiving such notification.

2. Renter's Liability Protection

All Fox customers who believed they were compelled to purchase Renter's Liability Protection (RLP) coverage in order to rent a car from Fox, and who

	•
	4
	4
	(
	1
	8
	9
1	{
1]
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	4
1	6
1	-
1	8
1	5
2	(
2	3
2	2
2	3
2	2
2	4
2	(
2	7
2	5

purchased the coverage, with respect to rentals ending at any time between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005 inclusive (the "RLP Restitution Period"), shall have restored to them an amount up to that which they paid for RLP coverage (and have not recovered), as follows:

- a. The California Attorney General's Office will transfer the amounts paid by Defendants toward restitution for RLP (a total of \$350,000.00) to an interest-bearing account established by the Settlement Administrator to handle restitution related to unlawful sales of RLP coverage (the "RLP Restitution Account").
- b. The Settlement Administrator shall send a notice (the "RLP Notice") to each person who purchased RLP during the RLP Restitution Period, informing the purchaser that s/he may be entitled to restitution pursuant to this Judgment. The notice shall be printed on a double-postcard and shall contain substantially the following language:

"The California Attorney General and the San Mateo County District Attorney have filed a judgment against Fox Rent A Car. The Judgment requires Fox to refund money to consumers who thought they had to pay for "Renter's Liability Protection" (RLP) insurance in order to rent a car. It is illegal under California law for a car rental company to require someone to purchase this kind of optional insurance. Fox's records show that you were charged \$[AMOUNT] for RLP coverage when you rented from the company.

"If you purchased RLP because you thought you were required to, please fill out and send in the attached card. If you return your card with a check in the "Yes" box, you will be eligible for a refund, up to the amount that you paid for RLP (and have not already had refunded to you). This is true even if you made an insurance claim under the RLP coverage.

"To find out more about this case, you can go to the Attorney General's website at http://ag.ca.gov/ [____]. If you have any questions, you can call the Settlement Administrator, Rosenthal & Co., at 1-800-237-0343."

The Settlement Administrator shall provide the telephone support services referred to on the postcard. The postcard shall contain substantially the statement "COURT-ORDERED <u>REFUND NOTICE</u> TO FOX RENT A CAR CUSTOMERS (*PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR*)" on the side of the

postcard that contains the customer's address. General background information about the case, including copies of the settlement documents and a list of responses to FAQs, will be made available on the Attorney General's website.

- c. A Fox customer who was both surcharged for allegedly violating geographical restrictions and charged improperly for RLP shall be entitled to payment for each charge.
- 1. Defendants shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this Judgment, with a database containing the name, amount paid for RLP, address, phone number, driver's license number, and email address (if available) of each Fox customer eligible to receive the RLP Notice. Prior to sending any RLP Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall check the name and address information supplied by Defendants against at least the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database and make all appropriate changes. If the Settlement Administrator cannot locate a current address for a given Notice recipient, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of this fact and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.
- e. The RLP Notification and Payment Schedule shall be as follows:
 - i. Notice to all recipients of the RLP Notice shall be sent no later than the Mailing Date set forth in paragraph 6.A.1.d.i above (i.e., 30 days after entry of this Judgment). Any notices returned as undeliverable with a change of address notification shall be re-sent to the new address. Any notices returned as undeliverable without a change of address notification shall be checked by the Settlement Administrator using standard address-search resources, and remailed to eligible recipients for whom new addresses are found. The Settlement

Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of any eligible recipient for whom a valid address has not been found and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.

- ii. RLP Notice recipients shall be instructed to respond by the date 60 days after the Mailing Date (the "Claim Deadline"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, a response received by the date 90 days after the Mailing Date shall be deemed timely.
- iii. Restitution of RLP charges shall be in an amount up to the full amount paid for RLP (including tax) by responding recipients of the RLP notice. If the total claims by responding RLP Notice recipients do not exceed the amounts available from the RLP Restitution Fund (i.e., \$350,000.00 plus applicable interest) then each responding claimant shall receive in restitution the full amount paid for RLP during the applicable rental(s). If the total claims by responding RLP Notice recipients exceed the amounts available from the RLP Restitution Fund, then each responding claimant shall receive in restitution a pro rata share of the amount available for distribution after applicable taxes, fees and other costs have been paid.
- Restitution checks shall be sent to responding RLP Notice recipients
 on or before the date 120 days after the Mailing Date.
- 3. The Settlement Administrator shall keep ongoing records of the name, address, phone number, driver's license number, email address (if available), date(s) notice sent to, date(s) response(s) received from, and date(s) restitution check(s) mailed to, all potential recipients of GPS and/or RLP restitution. No later than 90 days after the RLP Claim Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide to Defendants and to Plaintiff a Report containing a final database of these data i.e., including identifying and contact information for each claimant

paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim – as well as the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.

- Defendants shall be responsible for all costs incurred for administration of restitution under this Judgment.
- 5. Each check issued by the Settlement Administrator shall bear substantially the notation that "This check is void if not cashed within six months from the date printed on the check." Each check issued by the Settlement Administrator shall be accompanied by a Check Transmittal Letter setting out a brief description of the reasons for which restitution is being made and containing a clear and conspicuous reference to the "must-cash-by" date on the check. Within seven months after the date of issuance of the final restitution checks, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Plaintiff and Defendants with a report of the names and addresses of all claimants whose checks were not cashed within six months of issuance.
- 6. Any money remaining from the amounts paid by Defendants for restitution after reasonable attempts have been made to provide restitution to all eligible customers (the "Residual Amount") including amounts contained in checks not cashed for six months shall be distributed as set forth below. The money shall be paid to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund previously created by the Stipulated Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, filed on September 21, 1989, in the case of People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation (Alameda County Superior Court case number 656038-0). The Settlement Administrator shall deliver by express mail a bank check or certified check made out to "Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund" to Seth E. Mermin, California Attorney General's Office, 455 Golden Gate Ave., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

B. Defendants shall administer restitution directly as follows:

1. Miscellaneous claims

All Fox customers who submitted a valid complaint about the company – on a subject other than geographical violations – to the California Attorney General's Office, to the San Mateo District Attorney's Office, to the Better Business Bureau, to Payless Corporate, or to Fox (including to Fox corporate headquarters, to any Fox office, or to Payless Rent A Car) at any time between January 1, 2003 and the date of entry of this Judgment, or who submit a valid complaint about Defendants – on a subject other than geographical violations or RLP – that is received by Fox or by counsel for the People within ninety (90) days of the date of entry of this Judgment, shall have restored to them all amounts not previously restored that were obtained in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200.

- a. Eligibility for this "Miscellaneous Restitution" shall be determined by counsel for the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants.
- b. Miscellaneous Restitution payments shall be made by check directly by Defendants to those customers, and in those amounts, determined by counsel for the People. Defendants shall make best efforts to locate all customers entitled to Miscellaneous Restitution, including but not limited to checking the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database, calling any available telephone numbers, and making use of any other reasonable means proposed by counsel for the People.
- c. On at least a monthly basis, Defendants shall provide counsel for the People with the name, address, telephone number, driver's license number, and email address (if available), along with the amount and date of Miscellaneous Restitution paid to, each recipient of Miscellaneous Restitution. Defendants shall further provide to counsel for the People, on

at least a monthly basis, a bank statement showing the balance and activity of the separate bank account maintained for the purpose of effecting Miscellaneous Restitution. Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People a Final Miscellaneous Restitution Report containing a final compilation of this information no later than 150 days after the date of entry of this Judgment (i.e., 60 days after the date by which complaints must be received in order to be eligible for Miscellaneous Restitution).

- d. Defendants shall ensure that the amount in the separate account is sufficient to effect restitution to all customers entitled to Miscellaneous Restitution. If at any time counsel for the People, in their sole discretion, determine that additional amounts must be paid into the separate account in order to effect full payment of Miscellaneous Restitution, Defendants shall pay those amounts into the separate account within 14 days of receiving notice from Plaintiff of the insufficiency.
- e. Each check issued by Defendants in payment of Miscellaneous Restitution shall bear substantially the notation that "This check is void if not cashed within six months from the date printed on the check" and shall be accompanied by a Check Transmittal Letter setting out a brief description of the reasons for which restitution is being made and containing a clear and conspicuous reference to the "must-cash-by" date on the check.

CIVIL PENALTIES AND COSTS OF INVESTIGATION

7. Defendants shall pay civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206. Defendants shall pay \$200,000.00 in civil penalties and an additional \$50,000.00 in costs of investigation and expenses. On or before January 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) certified checks or cashier's checks made out to the "Attorney General of California" in the amount of \$25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars) in payment of costs of investigation and expenses, and in the amount of \$30,500 (thirty thousand five hundred dollars) in partial payment of civil penalties, and (2) certified checks or cashier's checks made out to the "San

Mateo County District Attorney" in the amount of \$25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars) in payment of costs of investigation and expenses, and in the amount of \$30,500 (thirty thousand five hundred dollars) in partial payment of civil penalties.

8. On or before March 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) a certified check or cashier's check made out to the "Attorney General of California" in the amount of \$69,500 (sixty-nine thousand five hundred dollars), and (2) a certified check or cashier's check made out to the "San Mateo County District Attorney" in the amount of \$69,500 (sixty-nine thousand five hundred dollars), each in payment of the remaining amount of civil penalties.

SECURITY

9. On or before the date of entry of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People two letters of credit (in a form approved by counsel or the People), one in the amount of \$211,000.00 (two hundred eleven thousand dollars) and one in the amount of \$139,000.00 (one hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars), as security for those amounts to be paid in January 2007 and March 2007 under the terms of this Judgment.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 10. Defendants shall pay all court costs associated with their appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs and expenses.
- 11. This Judgment shall be binding and effective upon entry by the Court, and the clerk is ordered to enter the Judgment immediately upon filing. This Judgment resolves the above-captioned action, and is meant to resolve all and only those matters set forth in the allegations of the Complaint filed in this action.
- 12. Any amount that Defendants owe under this Judgment, but which is not paid in accordance with the provisions of this Judgment, shall earn interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum commencing on entry of this Judgment, and (in addition to the People's rights under the Letters of Credit) is subject to all post-judgment remedies provided by law.
- 13. Neither Defendants nor anyone acting on their behalf shall state or imply or cause to be stated or implied that the Attorney General of California or the District Attorney of San

1	Mateo County or any state agency or officer has approved, sanctioned, or authorized any		
2	practice, act or conduct of the Defendants.		
3	14. In the event that the Defendants, or any of them, fail to deliver any payment by the		
4	date and in the amount specified herein, the entire unpaid balance of all amounts due under the		
5	terms of this Judgment shall be immediately due and payable.		
6	15. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter for the purpose of enabling any		
7	party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directions as		
8	may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for		
9	modification of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment, and for the People to apply at any		
10	time for enforcement of any provisions of this Judgment or for punishment of any violations of		
11	this Judgment.		
12			
13			
14	Dated:, 2006		
15			
16			
17	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

JUDGMENT

PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC.

1	BILL LOCKYER / ,	Į	
2	Attorney General of California ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN		
3	Attorney General of California ALBERT NORMAN SHELDEN Senior Assistant Attorney General MARGARET REITER	ENDODGED EII ED	
4	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SETH E. MERMIN	ENDORSED FILED SAN MATEO COUNTY	
5	Deputy Attorney Géneral	NOV 0 9 2006	
	State Bar No. 189194 / 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Eleventh Floor		
6	San Francisco, CA 94102 Telephone: (41/5) 703-5601	Clerk of the Superior Court ByM, YOUNG	
7	Fax: (415) 703-5480	DEPUTY CLERK	
8	JAMES P. FOX District Attorney, County of San Mateo		
9	State Bar/No. 45169 CHUCK FINNEY		
10	Deputy District Attorney		
11	400 County Center, Third Floor Redwood City, CA 94063		
12	Telephone: (650) 363-4097 Fax: (650) 363-4873		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff,		
14	/The People of the State of California		
	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
15	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S	TATE OF CALIFORNIA	
15 16	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S' FOR THE COUNTY OF SA		
	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA	AN MATEO	
16			
16 17	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPULED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT	
16 17 18 19	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff,	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE, INCLUDING.	
16 17 18 19 20	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v.	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY,	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION Date Action Filed:	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	FOR THE COUNTY OF SATTHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual,	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION. Date Action Filed: November 6, 2006	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	FOR THE COUNTY OF SA THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; PAY LOW RENT A CAR, INC., a California corporation; MAM HOLDING, LLC, a Nevada company; CERTIFIED AUTO BODY, INC., a California corporation; BAYPORT CAR RENTAL, INC., a California corporation; MARK (MASOUD) MIRTORABI, an individual; MIKE (MANSOUR) JABERI, an individual; and ALLEN (ALI) REZAPOUR, an individual, Defendants.	CASE NO.: CIV 458851 [PROPUSED JUDGMENT AND CONSENT DECREE INCLUDING PERMANENT INSUNCTION. Date Action Filed: November 6, 2006	

JUDGMENT

PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR, INC.

1	ļ
2	
3	
4	ŀ
5	l
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	l
11	İ
12	I
13	ļ
13 14	1
15	
16	İ
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

P. Fox, District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, and defendants Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi, Mike (Mansour) Jaberi, and Allen (Ali) Rezapour (hereafter collectively "Defendants"), appearing through their attorney Mark R. Mittelman, having stipulated to the entry of this Judgment without the taking of proof or trial; this Judgment not constituting evidence of or an admission regarding any issue alleged in the Complaint, or an adjudication of any issue of fact or law; the Court having considered the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment executed by the parties and filed herewith; and good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this action and of the parties. Venue as to all matters between the parties relating to this action is proper in this Court.

INJUNCTION

- 2. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203, Defendants Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Certified Auto Body, Inc., Bayport Car Rental, Inc., Mike (Mansour) Jaberi, Mark (Masoud) Mirtorabi, and Allen (Ali) Rezapour, as well as their agents, employees, officers, representatives, successors, partners, assigns, and all persons acting in concert or participating with any of them (hereafter collectively "Fox"), are hereby immediately and permanently enjoined and restrained:
 - A. With respect to Global Positioning System (GPS) Devices and Geographical Restrictions, from directly or indirectly:
 - Obtaining, accessing or using any information relating to a renter's use of a vehicle when that information was secured using GPS or other electronic surveillance technology in any manner, except as follows:
 - a. When the equipment is used by Fox only for the purpose of locating a stolen, abandoned, or missing rental vehicle after one of the following:
 - The renter or law enforcement has informed Fox that the vehicle has been stolen or abandoned or is missing;

- ii. The rental vehicle has not been returned within a week after the contracted return date plus any extension of that return date; or
- iii. Fox has discovered that the rental vehicle has been stolen or abandoned and, if the vehicle has been stolen, Fox has reported the vehicle stolen to law enforcement by filing a stolen vehicle report. (The last part of the previous sentence shall not apply if law enforcement, per paragraph 1.A.i. above, has already informed Fox that the vehicle has been stolen or abandoned or is missing.)
- In response to a specific request from law enforcement pursuant to a subpoena or search warrant.
- c. As otherwise set forth in section 1936(o)(3)-(6) of the Civil Code.
- Using GPS or other electronic surveillance technology to track a renter in order to impose surcharges, fines, penalties or any other charge relating to the renter's use of the vehicle.
- 3. Failing to keep records (in a manner at least as complete as that set forth in section 1936(o)(1)(B) of the Civil Code) of each time Fox uses GPS or other electronic surveillance technology to contact a vehicle, or failing to keep these records for three years from the time of such contact, or failing to make these records available to the offices of the Attorney General and District Attorney within seven days after receipt of a request for inspection.
- 4. Failing to ensure, if Fox uses GPS or other electronic surveillance technology, that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process other than telephone conversations in advertisements (including all statements on Fox's website(s)), during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk (1) that GPS or similar devices may be present in Fox's cars, and (2) of the ways in which those devices may be used by Fox. In a telephone conversation, Fox must inform a renter about the presence of the devices if the renter raises the issue. If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority

over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, Fox shall make best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed about the presence of the devices.

- 5. Failing to ensure, if Fox places geographical restrictions on where renters may drive Fox's vehicles, that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process in advertisements, during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk that there are geographical restrictions on where a driver may take Fox's vehicle, and of what those restrictions are. If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, they shall make best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed of the existence and nature of these geographical restrictions.
- B. With respect to Renter's Liability Protection (RLP), from directly or indirectly:
 - Requiring renters to purchase RLP, or any other insurance or similar product (including but not limited to Collision Damage Waiver (CDW) or Loss Damage Waiver (LDW)), as a condition of rental;
 - 2. Offering for sale RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW), unless Fox ensures that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed, at every stage of the rental process in advertisements (including all statements on Fox's website(s)), during the reservation process, and at the rental counter or self-service kiosk (1) that they do not need to purchase RLP or any other insurance or similar product from Fox in order to rent a vehicle from Fox, and (2) that they may wish to check with their own insurance company and/or credit card company to determine whether they already possess the relevant coverage. That is, Fox must inform its customers and potential customers that the purchase of these products is

optional, and Fox is prohibited from using such statements as "Proof of Auto Liability Insurance must be produced at time of rental." If Fox lacks decisionmaking authority over a particular stage or portion of a stage of the rental process, then, with respect to that stage or portion of a stage, Fox shall use best efforts to ensure that renters are clearly and conspicuously informed that the purchase of these products is optional.

- 3. Offering for sale RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW) without requiring renters to affirmatively sign or initial the rental contract (or the electronic equivalent at a self-service kiosk) next to a clear and conspicuous description of the insurance or similar product being purchased, its price, and the fact that buying the coverage is optional, in order to purchase the product.
- 4. Failing to develop, maintain and employ either (1) a script or (2) an outline which Fox must use in presenting insurance and similar products to all renters (including those at self-service kiosks). The script or outline must convey clearly and conspicuously to all renters that the purchase of any insurance or similar product from Fox is entirely optional and that Fox will rent the vehicle to the renter even if the renter declines to purchase the products offered. The script or outline must also provide that if a customer communicates that he or she does not wish to purchase a particular type of coverage or coverages, Fox (including at its self-service kiosks) may not continue to discuss that type of coverage or coverages and may not raise the issue again later in the transaction. This provision shall not prevent Fox from later discussing the issue if (but only if) the renter or another member of the renter's party raises the issue. If a regular Fox customer at the rental counter informs a counter agent that he or she does not wish to hear the script or outline, the agent may terminate the presentation at that point.
- 5. Failing to maintain "CUSTOMER NOTICE" signs prominently displayed at all

rental locations, and clearly and conspicuously visible to all renters who come to all rental locations, that state under the heading "UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW" as follows:

- a. As a first bullet point: "You do not have to purchase any insurance in order to rent a car.";
- b. As a second bullet point: "The insurance we offer may duplicate coverage already provided by your auto insurance policy or your credit card. Feel free to use our telephone to check.";
- c. As a third bullet point: "Fox Rent-A-Car rental agents are not qualified or authorized to evaluate the adequacy of your existing insurance coverage/policy."
- 6. Varying employees' or agents' compensation in any way directly or solely based on either (1) the number of renters to whom the employees or agents sell RLP or any other optional insurance or similar product (including but not limited to CDW or LDW), or (2) the amount of such coverage (i.e. premiums) sold.
- C. With respect to **Damage to Vehicles**, from directly or indirectly:
 - Charging or making a claim against a renter for damage to a vehicle unless all
 of the following are true:
 - a. The damage is of a type for which the renter may be held liable;
 - b. The renter was explicitly given the opportunity to inspect the vehicle for damage at the commencement and after the completion of the rental specifically, prior to taking possession of the rental vehicle, the renter was informed clearly and conspicuously, both orally and in a written statement on the vehicle-damage diagram sheet, of his or her right to inspect the vehicle for damage, and best efforts were made to inform the renter orally of this right at the time he or she returned the vehicle;
 - c. Fox inspected and can document the vehicle's condition immediately prior

to and immediately after the rental;

- d. Fox pointed out the asserted damage to the renter at the time the renter returned the vehicle, and notified the renter of the cost of repair within twenty days of the end of the rental.
- D. With respect to Other Violations of Law, from:

Otherwise violating section 17200 of the Business & Professions Code as to the conduct described herein, or section 1936 of the Civil Code.

- 3. Fox is additionally immediately and permanently enjoined as follows:
 - A. With respect to Retention of Records, to:

Continue to retain rental records for each renter, including the rental contract and copies of all written correspondence (U.S. Mail, electronic mail, etc.) and records of telephone conversations if any, for three years from completion of the rental period. Records must be kept in such a way that they can be made available to the offices of the District Attorney and Attorney General within seven days of the date of a request for inspection.

- B. With respect to Handling of Complaints, to:
 - Maintain a system of handling complaints that ensures that complaints are addressed promptly and effectively, such that any complaint is investigated and the result of the investigation communicated to the complaining renter within seven days after Fox is are made aware of the complaint;
 - 2. Maintain records of (1) all complaints, including complaints sent directly to corporate headquarters of Payless Car Rental, Inc. and forwarded to Fox, and (2) all correspondence, including electronic correspondence, and all records of telephone conversations, regarding those complaints, in a customer's file for at least three years from completion of the rental period;
 - 3. Make records of complaints available to the offices of the District Attorney and Attorney General within seven days of the date of a request for inspection.
- C. With respect to Compliance with the Terms of This Judgment as to Third-Party

Providers, to:

- Ensure that any information or statement about Fox's policies or practices that
 is provided by Fox to a "third party provider" i.e., any business that provides
 consumers links to or information about Fox conforms with the terms of this
 Judgment.
- 2. Use best efforts to ensure that any information or statement about Fox's policies or practices that is provided by a "third-party provider" conforms with the terms of this Judgment and to promptly notify counsel for the People if Fox learns of any nonconforming information or statement that Fox's best efforts have not been able to correct.

D. With respect to Distribution of the Terms of this Judgment, to:

- Ensure that a copy of the injunctive terms of this Judgment (together with at least the first two pages and the last page of the Judgment) is provided to Payless Rental Car Systems, Inc., and that a copy of the injunctive terms of the Judgment that relate to the use of GPS (or similar) technology is provided to Air IQ U.S., Inc./Aircept.com, LLC, and to any other provider or former provider of GPS (or similar) tracking services to Fox.
- 2. Ensure that a copy of the injunctive terms of this Judgment or a training manual containing these terms is, on at least an annual basis, made available to and required to be read by each employee and representative, and each new hire, of Fox Rent A Car, Inc., Pay Low Rent a Car, Inc., MAM Holding, LLC, Bayport Car Rental, Inc., or Certified Auto Body, Inc., who has or will have direct contact with Fox's rental car customers, and that each employee or representative is given sufficient time, resources and opportunity at work to become familiar with and understand the injunctive terms of the Judgment. Fox, through its internal auditor, shall ensure that the terms of this Judgment are complied with on an ongoing basis, and at least annually with respect to each employee or other representative of Fox.

RESTITUTION

- 4. Defendants shall pay restitution to their customers pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17203. As set forth in detail below, Defendants shall (1) make restitution in full, and in any event in an amount not less than \$89,000, to those of their customers who were allegedly found through the use of GPS devices to have violated Fox's restrictions on where cars could be driven, and surcharged for that purported violation; (2) pay \$350,000.00 toward restitution to those of their customers who were required or believed they were required to pay for Renter's Liability Protection coverage in order to rent a car between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005; and (3) directly make restitution in full to those of their customers who have made or who make a valid complaint, as determined by counsel for the People in their sole discretion in consultation with Defendants, to Fox or an outside agency (as specified below) during the period from January 1, 2003 until the date 90 days after of the date of entry of this Judgment regarding any other unlawful, unfair or deceptive act or practice committed by Fox.
 - A. All those customers who, through the use of a GPS device in Fox's vehicles, were assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions shall have restored to them the full amount of the surcharge (including any tax thereon) that they paid and have not recovered. This restitution shall be accomplished as set forth in paragraph 6.A.1. below. Any questions as to eligibility for or amount of restitution due shall be determined by the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants.
 - B. All those customers who believed that they were required to purchase Renter's Liability Protection (RLP) coverage in order to rent a car from Fox, and who purchased such coverage, as part of a rental concluding at any time between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005, shall be eligible to have restored to them up to the full amount of the RLP charge they paid (including any tax thereon). This restitution shall be effected according to the procedure set forth in paragraph 6.A.2. below. The restoration to consumers of RLP "premiums" paid shall not revoke, diminish or otherwise adversely affect any renter's RLP coverage that may still be in effect (i.e.,

in covering an accident that occurred during the rental). Any questions as to eligibility for or amount of restitution due a renter shall be determined by the People in their sole discretion, after consultation with Defendants.

- C. All those customers who have submitted a complaint about Fox on a subject other than geographical restrictions to the California Attorney General's Office, to the San Mateo District Attorney's Office, to the Better Business Bureau, to Payless Corporate, or to Fox (including to Fox corporate headquarters, to any Fox office, or to Payless Rent A Car), at any time between January 1, 2003 and the date of entry of this Judgment, or who submit a complaint about Fox that is received by Fox or by counsel for the People within ninety (90) days of the date of entry of this Judgment on a subject other than geographical restrictions or RLP within 90 days after the date of entry of this Judgment (the "Miscellaneous Restitution Period"), shall be eligible to have restored to them all amounts not previously restored (including any taxes paid) that were obtained in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200, as determined by the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants. This restitution shall be carried out as set forth in paragraph 6.B.1. below.
- 5. Defendants shall provide funds for the foregoing restitution as follows:
 - A. On or before the date of entry of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) a certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$89,000.00, (eighty-nine thousand dollars) made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of geographical surcharges as set forth in paragraph 4.A. above, (2) a certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$161,000.00 (one hundred sixty-one thousand dollars), made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of RLP charges as set forth in paragraph 4.B. above, and (3) documents establishing that Defendants have opened a separate bank account, containing at least \$25,000.00 (twenty-five thousand dollars), to be applied toward restitution of miscellaneous

charges that were the subject of customer complaints as set forth in paragraph 4.C. above.

B. On or before January 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People an additional certified check or cashier's check in the amount of \$189,000.00 (one hundred eighty-nine thousand dollars), made payable to the "California Attorney General's Office," to be applied toward restitution of RLP charges.

SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION

- 6. Restitution shall be effected (1) in part by a third-party Settlement Administrator selected by Plaintiff and engaged and compensated by Defendants, and (2) in part directly by Defendants.
 - A. The Settlement Administrator shall administer restitution as follows:
 - 1. Geographic Restrictions

All Fox customers who, through the use of a GPS device in Fox's vehicles, were assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions shall have restored to them the full amount of the surcharge (including any tax thereon) that they paid and have not recovered.

- a. The California Attorney General's Office will transfer the \$89,000.00 paid by Defendants, plus any applicable interest, to an interest-bearing account established by the Settlement Administrator to handle restitution related to surcharges for geographical violations (the "GPS Restitution Account").
- b. The Settlement Administrator shall send a notice (the "GPS Notice") to each customer who was assessed a surcharge for assertedly violating geographical restrictions during the GPS Restitution Period, informing the customer that s/he may be entitled to restitution pursuant to this Judgment. The notice shall be printed on a "double postcard" and shall contain substantially the following language:

"The California Attorney General and the San Mateo County District Attorney have filed a judgment against Fox Rent A Car. The Judgment requires Fox Rent A Car to refund money to Fox customers who were charged extra for driving outside California, Nevada and Arizona. It is illegal under California law to charge a renter a "penalty" unrelated to the

cost to the company of the supposed violation. Fox's records show that you were charged \$[AMOUNT] as this type of penalty when you returned your rented car to the company.

"Please sign and return the card below – and correct the address if necessary – so that we can ensure that we send your refund to the right person at the right address. If you return your card, you will receive a refund in the extra amount that you were charged (and have not already been reimbursed). This is true even if you did drive outside the three-state area.

"To find out more about this case, you can go to the Attorney General's website at http://ag.ca.gov/[___]. If you have any questions, you can call the Settlement Administrator, Rosenthal & Co., at 1-800-237-0343."

The Settlement Administrator shall provide the telephone support services referred to on the postcard. The postcard shall contain substantially the statement "COURT-ORDERED REFUND NOTICE TO FOX RENT A CAR CUSTOMERS (PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR)" on the side of the postcard that contains the customer's address. General background information about the case, including copies of the settlement documents and a list of responses to FAQs, will be made available on the Attorney General's website.

c. Defendants shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within fourteen (14) days from the date of Entry of Judgment, with a database containing the name, amount paid as a surcharge for alleged geographic violation, address, telephone number, driver's license issuer and number, and email address (if available) of each Fox customer eligible to receive the GPS Notice. Prior to sending any GPS Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall check the name and address information supplied by Defendants against at least the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database and make all appropriate changes. If the Settlement Administrator cannot locate a current address for a given Notice recipient, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of this fact and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail

the Notice to that address.

- d. The GPS Notification and Payment Schedule shall be as follows:
 - i. Notice to all recipients of the GPS Notice shall be sent no later than 30 days after entry of this Judgment (the "Mailing Date"). Any notices returned as undeliverable with a change of address notification shall be re-sent to the new address. Any notices returned as undeliverable without a change of address notification shall be checked by the Settlement Administrator using standard address-search resources, and remailed to eligible recipients for whom new addresses are found. The Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of any eligible recipient for whom a valid address has not been found and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.
 - ii. GPS Notice recipients shall be instructed to respond by the date 60 days after the Mailing Date (the "Claim Deadline"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, a response received by the date 90 days after the Mailing Date shall be deemed timely.
 - iii. The Settlement Administrator shall send all checks for GPS restitution by the date 120 days after the Mailing Date.
- e. If the amount in the "GPS Restitution Fund" account is insufficient fully to effect restitution to all customers entitled to restitution of geographical-violation surcharges, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify Defendants of the amount of the deficiency, and Defendants shall pay that amount into the GPS Restitution Fund within 14 days of receiving such notification.

2. Renter's Liability Protection

All Fox customers who believed they were compelled to purchase Renter's Liability Protection (RLP) coverage in order to rent a car from Fox, and who

1	ŀ
2	
3	
4	
5	١
6	ŀ
7	
8	
9	I
10	I
11	I
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	ı
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

purchased the coverage, with respect to rentals ending at any time between March 1, 2004 and August 31, 2005 inclusive (the "RLP Restitution Period"), shall have restored to them an amount up to that which they paid for RLP coverage (and have not recovered), as follows:

- a. The California Attorney General's Office will transfer the amounts paid by Defendants toward restitution for RLP (a total of \$350,000.00) to an interest-bearing account established by the Settlement Administrator to handle restitution related to unlawful sales of RLP coverage (the "RLP Restitution Account").
- b. The Settlement Administrator shall send a notice (the "RLP Notice") to each person who purchased RLP during the RLP Restitution Period, informing the purchaser that s/he may be entitled to restitution pursuant to this Judgment. The notice shall be printed on a double-postcard and shall contain substantially the following language:

"The California Attorney General and the San Mateo County District Attorney have filed a judgment against Fox Rent A Car. The Judgment requires Fox to refund money to consumers who thought they had to pay for "Renter's Liability Protection" (RLP) insurance in order to rent a car. It is illegal under California law for a car rental company to require someone to purchase this kind of optional insurance. Fox's records show that you were charged \$[AMOUNT] for RLP coverage when you rented from the company.

"If you purchased RLP because you thought you were required to, please fill out and send in the attached card. If you return your card with a check in the "Yes" box, you will be eligible for a refund, up to the amount that you paid for RLP (and have not already had refunded to you). This is true even if you made an insurance claim under the RLP coverage.

"To find out more about this case, you can go to the Attorney General's website at http://ag.ca.gov/ [____]. If you have any questions, you can call the Settlement Administrator, Rosenthal & Co., at 1-800-237-0343."

The Settlement Administrator shall provide the telephone support services referred to on the postcard. The postcard shall contain substantially the statement "COURT-ORDERED <u>REFUND NOTICE</u> TO FOX RENT A CAR CUSTOMERS (*PEOPLE v. FOX RENT A CAR*)" on the side of the

postcard that contains the customer's address. General background information about the case, including copies of the settlement documents and a list of responses to FAQs, will be made available on the Attorney General's website.

- c. A Fox customer who was both surcharged for allegedly violating geographical restrictions and charged improperly for RLP shall be entitled to payment for each charge.
- d. Defendants shall provide the Settlement Administrator, within fourteen (14) days from the date of entry of this Judgment, with a database containing the name, amount paid for RLP, address, phone number, driver's license number, and email address (if available) of each Fox customer eligible to receive the RLP Notice. Prior to sending any RLP Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall check the name and address information supplied by Defendants against at least the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database and make all appropriate changes. If the Settlement Administrator cannot locate a current address for a given Notice recipient, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of this fact and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.
- e. The RLP Notification and Payment Schedule shall be as follows:
 - the Mailing Date set forth in paragraph 6.A.1.d.i above (i.e., 30 days after entry of this Judgment). Any notices returned as undeliverable with a change of address notification shall be re-sent to the new address. Any notices returned as undeliverable without a change of address notification shall be checked by the Settlement Administrator using standard address-search resources, and remailed to eligible recipients for whom new addresses are found. The Settlement

Administrator shall promptly notify the parties of any eligible recipient for whom a valid address has not been found and shall, if supplied by either party with a current address, promptly mail the Notice to that address.

- ii. RLP Notice recipients shall be instructed to respond by the date 60 days after the Mailing Date (the "Claim Deadline"). Notwithstanding any other provision of this Judgment, a response received by the date 90 days after the Mailing Date shall be deemed timely.
- iii. Restitution of RLP charges shall be in an amount up to the full amount paid for RLP (including tax) by responding recipients of the RLP notice. If the total claims by responding RLP Notice recipients do not exceed the amounts available from the RLP Restitution Fund (i.e., \$350,000.00 plus applicable interest) then each responding claimant shall receive in restitution the full amount paid for RLP during the applicable rental(s). If the total claims by responding RLP Notice recipients exceed the amounts available from the RLP Restitution Fund, then each responding claimant shall receive in restitution a pro rata share of the amount available for distribution after applicable taxes, fees and other costs have been paid.
- iv. Restitution checks shall be sent to responding RLP Notice recipients on or before the date 120 days after the Mailing Date.
- 3. The Settlement Administrator shall keep ongoing records of the name, address, phone number, driver's license number, email address (if available), date(s) notice sent to, date(s) response(s) received from, and date(s) restitution check(s) mailed to, all potential recipients of GPS and/or RLP restitution. No later than 90 days after the RLP Claim Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall provide to Defendants and to Plaintiff a Report containing a final database of these data i.e., including identifying and contact information for each claimant

paid, the date of payment, and the amount of the claim – as well as the Settlement Administrator's certification of its compliance with the procedures set forth in this Judgment except to the extent of any specifically described deficiencies in compliance.

- 4. Defendants shall be responsible for all costs incurred for administration of restitution under this Judgment.
- 5. Each check issued by the Settlement Administrator shall bear substantially the notation that "This check is void if not cashed within six months from the date printed on the check." Each check issued by the Settlement Administrator shall be accompanied by a Check Transmittal Letter setting out a brief description of the reasons for which restitution is being made and containing a clear and conspicuous reference to the "must-cash-by" date on the check. Within seven months after the date of issuance of the final restitution checks, the Settlement Administrator shall provide Plaintiff and Defendants with a report of the names and addresses of all claimants whose checks were not cashed within six months of issuance.
- 6. Any money remaining from the amounts paid by Defendants for restitution after reasonable attempts have been made to provide restitution to all eligible customers (the "Residual Amount") including amounts contained in checks not cashed for six months shall be distributed as set forth below. The money shall be paid to the Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund previously created by the Stipulated Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction, filed on September 21, 1989, in the case of People v. ITT Consumer Financial Corporation (Alameda County Superior Court case number 656038-0). The Settlement Administrator shall deliver by express mail a bank check or certified check made out to "Consumer Protection Prosecution Trust Fund" to Seth E. Mermin, California Attorney General's Office, 455 Golden Gate Ave., 11th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94102.

B. Defendants shall administer restitution directly as follows:

1. Miscellaneous claims

All Fox customers who submitted a valid complaint about the company – on a subject other than geographical violations – to the California Attorney General's Office, to the San Mateo District Attorney's Office, to the Better Business Bureau, to Payless Corporate, or to Fox (including to Fox corporate headquarters, to any Fox office, or to Payless Rent A Car) at any time between January 1, 2003 and the date of entry of this Judgment, or who submit a valid complaint about Defendants – on a subject other than geographical violations or RLP – that is received by Fox or by counsel for the People within ninety (90) days of the date of entry of this Judgment, shall have restored to them all amounts not previously restored that were obtained in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17200.

- a. Eligibility for this "Miscellaneous Restitution" shall be determined by counsel for the People in their sole discretion after consultation with Defendants.
- b. Miscellaneous Restitution payments shall be made by check directly by Defendants to those customers, and in those amounts, determined by counsel for the People. Defendants shall make best efforts to locate all customers entitled to Miscellaneous Restitution, including but not limited to checking the National Change of Address database or an equivalent database, calling any available telephone numbers, and making use of any other reasonable means proposed by counsel for the People.
- c. On at least a monthly basis, Defendants shall provide counsel for the People with the name, address, telephone number, driver's license number, and email address (if available), along with the amount and date of Miscellaneous Restitution paid to, each recipient of Miscellaneous Restitution. Defendants shall further provide to counsel for the People, on

at least a monthly basis, a bank statement showing the balance and activity of the separate bank account maintained for the purpose of effecting Miscellaneous Restitution. Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People a Final Miscellaneous Restitution Report containing a final compilation of this information no later than 150 days after the date of entry of this Judgment (i.e., 60 days after the date by which complaints must be received in order to be eligible for Miscellaneous Restitution).

- d. Defendants shall ensure that the amount in the separate account is sufficient to effect restitution to all customers entitled to Miscellaneous Restitution. If at any time counsel for the People, in their sole discretion, determine that additional amounts must be paid into the separate account in order to effect full payment of Miscellaneous Restitution, Defendants shall pay those amounts into the separate account within 14 days of receiving notice from Plaintiff of the insufficiency.
- e. Each check issued by Defendants in payment of Miscellaneous Restitution shall bear substantially the notation that "This check is void if not cashed within six months from the date printed on the check" and shall be accompanied by a Check Transmittal Letter setting out a brief description of the reasons for which restitution is being made and containing a clear and conspicuous reference to the "must-cash-by" date on the check.

CIVIL PENALTIES AND COSTS OF INVESTIGATION

7. Defendants shall pay civil penalties pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206. Defendants shall pay \$200,000.00 in civil penalties and an additional \$50,000.00 in costs of investigation and expenses. On or before January 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) certified checks or cashier's checks made out to the "Attorney General of California" in the amount of \$25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars) in payment of costs of investigation and expenses, and in the amount of \$30,500 (thirty thousand five hundred dollars) in partial payment of civil penalties, and (2) certified checks or cashier's checks made out to the "San

Mateo County District Attorney" in the amount of \$25,000 (twenty-five thousand dollars) in payment of costs of investigation and expenses, and in the amount of \$30,500 (thirty thousand five hundred dollars) in partial payment of civil penalties.

8. On or before March 15, 2007, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People (1) a certified check or cashier's check made out to the "Attorney General of California" in the amount of \$69,500 (sixty-nine thousand five hundred dollars), and (2) a certified check or cashier's check made out to the "San Mateo County District Attorney" in the amount of \$69,500 (sixty-nine thousand five hundred dollars), each in payment of the remaining amount of civil penalties.

SECURITY

9. On or before the date of entry of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to counsel for the People two letters of credit (in a form approved by counsel or the People), one in the amount of \$211,000.00 (two hundred eleven thousand dollars) and one in the amount of \$139,000.00 (one hundred thirty-nine thousand dollars), as security for those amounts to be paid in January 2007 and March 2007 under the terms of this Judgment.

OTHER PROVISIONS

- 10. Defendants shall pay all court costs associated with their appearance in this action, including any fee for the filing of the Stipulation to Entry of Judgment. Except as otherwise provided herein, each party shall bear its own costs and expenses.
- 11. This Judgment shall be binding and effective upon entry by the Court, and the clerk is ordered to enter the Judgment immediately upon filing. This Judgment resolves the above-captioned action, and is meant to resolve all and only those matters set forth in the allegations of the Complaint filed in this action.
- 12. Any amount that Defendants owe under this Judgment, but which is not paid in accordance with the provisions of this Judgment, shall earn interest at the rate of 10 percent per annum commencing on entry of this Judgment, and (in addition to the People's rights under the Letters of Credit) is subject to all post-judgment remedies provided by law.
- 13. Neither Defendants nor anyone acting on their behalf shall state or imply or cause to be stated or implied that the Attorney General of California or the District Attorney of San

Dated: 8

Mateo County or any state agency or officer has approved, sanctioned, or authorized any practice, act or conduct of the Defendants.

- 14. In the event that the Defendants, or any of them, fail to deliver any payment by the date and in the amount specified herein, the entire unpaid balance of all amounts due under the terms of this Judgment shall be immediately due and payable.
- party to this Judgment to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders or directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for modification of the injunctive provisions of this Judgment, and for the People to apply at any time for enforcement of any provisions of this Judgment or for punishment of any violations of this Judgment. In oldification to the foregoing, the Court older retrieves its Settlement Enforcement Junisdiction under Colf. Code of Civil Procedure, & 664.6

Jensel J. Burling JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT